

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
AMINER	EXA			
				
PAPER NUMBER	ART UNIT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,				
	DATE MAILED:			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

` Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/981,559

Applicant(s)

Wallach et al.

Examiner

David Romeo

Art Unit **1647**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day	
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication.	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	ne mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4 May 2</u>	.001
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X This ac	ction is non-final.
3) \square Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 29 and 36	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) 29 and 36	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Diada unda 25 H C C & 110	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	p. 10.11, and a c. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.
	eve been received in Application No
	documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	
747 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	e priority under 65 6.6.6. 3 1 16(6).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 08981559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

5

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/26/2001 (Paper No. 15) has been entered.

2. Claims 29, 36 are pending and being examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 29 provides for the production of a molecule but the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the production it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a process without any active, positive steps delimiting how this process is actually practiced.

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Page 3

4. Claims 29, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The claims are drawn to or encompasses a method of screening for compounds that bind the intracellular domain of 26 kDa TNF and/or modulate the phosphorylation thereof. The specification teaches phosphorylation of the serine residues of the intracellular domain of 26 kDa TNF. However, the biological significance of this phosphorylation is unknown. In the absence of a knowledge of the biological significance of the phosphorylation process there is no apparent specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for either the screening process or production of the compounds identified by the screening process. Further experimentation is necessary to attribute a utility to the claimed screening process. Evidence warranting further study is not equivalent to evidence showing the type of utility required by 35 U.S.C. 101. See Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 535-36, 148 USPQ 689, 696 (1966) (noting that in context of the utility requirement "a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion.").

Claims 29, 36 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 08981559

Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

New formal matters, objections, and/or rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 29, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession

of the claimed invention. The claims are directed to "producing" a molecule. However, the

specification does not describe the production of any and all molecules with the desired

characteristics. At best it might be obvious to the skilled artisan that it would be desirable to

employ the materials and methods disclosed in attempt to produce such molecules. However, the

written description does not extend to subject matter which is not disclosed, but would be

obvious over what is expressly disclosed. It extends only to that which is disclosed. One shows

that one is 'in possession' of the invention by describing the invention, with all its claimed

limitations, not that which makes it obvious.

6. Claims 29, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

invention. The claims are directed to "producing" a molecule. However, the specification does

not describe the production of any and all molecules with the desired characteristics. In the

08981559 018

Application/Control Number: 08981559

Art Unit: 1647

absence of this information the skilled artisan would have to resort to a substantial amount of unduly extensive, random, trial and error experimentation in the form of random analysis of any and all compositions and/or compounds and through trial and error experimentation is left to determine how to isolate and produce them. In view of the breadth of the claims, the limited amount of direction and working examples provided by the inventor, and the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure, it would require undue experimentation for the skilled artisan to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention.

Page 5

Conclusion

10 7. No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Romeo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

OFFICIAL PAPERS FILED BY FAX SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO (703) 308-4242.

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

20

15

5

AULA KAWEU DAVID ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1647

JULY 11, 2001

08981559 018